CA-PMM

Project Name: CALTIDES

OCIO Project #:
Department: CDE and CCTC
Revision Date: 1/11/09

Status Report

Current Status Report

Questions	Yes/No	Cause	Impact	Action Required
1. Were recent milestones completed on schedule?	Yes			
2. Were any key milestones or deliverables rescheduled?	No			
3. Was work done that was not planned?	No			
4. Were there any changes to scope?	No			
5. Were tasks added that were not originally estimated?	No			
6. Were any tasks or milestones removed?	No			
7. Were any scheduled tasks not started?	No			
8. Are there any new major issues?	No			

CA-PMM

Project Name: CALTIDES

OCIO Project #:

Department: CDE and CCTC

Revision Date: 1/11/09

Status Report

9. Are there any staffing problems?	Yes	Availability of CDE Co Project Director constrained.	Involvement in CALPADS limits the CDE Co Project Director's availability for CALTIDES	Addressing with CDE Project Sponsor and PMO.

Look Ahead View

Questions	Yes/No	Impact	Action Required
1. Will upcoming critical path milestones or deliverables be delayed?	Yes	Minimum 1 year 8 month delay for Procurement Completion	Revised schedule noted in SPR
2. Do any key milestones or deliverables need to be rescheduled?	Yes	Minimum 1 year 8 month delay for all post procurement milestones	Revised schedule noted in SPR
3. Is there any unplanned work that needs to be done?	Yes	In order to ensure design process is efficient, the CDE engaged the Placer County Office of Education to review and analyze current local assignment monitoring (AM) processes and recommend a new AM process based on CALTIDES. This effort is within scope of CALTIDES but is mentioned because it is being performed sooner than planned (i.e., prior to the start of the implementation phase).	As specified in the FSR, CALTIDES will automate the assignment monitoring (AM) process to approximately 80 percent. Currently AM is a local process conducted by county offices of education. In order to automate the process, a new standard business process must be developed.

CA-PMM

Project Name: CALTIDES

OCIO Project #:
Department: CDE and CCTC
Revision Date: 1/11/09

Status Report

4. Are there any expected or recommended changes to scope?	Yes	At the FSR stage, it was intended that CCTC would perform the mapping of course code data maintained in CALPADS to CALTIDES credential and authorization data. A better understanding of the complexity of this task during the RFP requirements stage caused the project team to both update the data to be mapped and shift to the CALTIDES vendor, the responsibility for developing the needed mapping.	Documented in SPR
5. Are there any tasks not originally estimated that will need to be added?	No		
6. Are there any tasks or milestones that should be removed from the plan?	No		
7. Are there any scheduled tasks whose start will likely be delayed?	No		
8. Are any major new issues foreseeable?	Yes	Fall 2011 implementation reliant on vendor start date of March 3, 2010.	SPR submittal and approval in time for DGS to finalize System Integrator contract by 3/2/2010.
9. Are any staffing problems anticipated?	No		

CA-PMM

Project Name: CALTIDES

OCIO Project #: _____

Department: CDE and CCTC

Revision Date: 1/11/09

Status Report

<table>
<tr><td colspan="6">Current Status and Accomplishments:
Describe deliverables completed and milestones met during this reporting period.</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="6">Procurement Phase Activities are 93% complete. SPR submitted on 12/22/2009.

Documentation of existing Business Process continues. Efforts are on track as planned.

Statewide Educator ID (SEID) Implementation is as previously reported - 86% complete. For the remaining 14%, half are due to needed conversion of lifetime credentials (expected completion date is 5/2010). The remaining 7% is due to districts that didn't report a SEID for various reasons. CDE and CTC currently researching and following up with LEAs/districts.

CDE and CTC now consider the Lifetime Credential conversion project complete; although there are some that need more information in order to convert their document, this is a minor number and can be completed with existing business staff as the information comes in to CTC.</td></tr>
</table>

Project Milestones:
List key milestones and their dates from the project schedule.

Milestone	Target Date	Forecast Date	Status	Cause & Impact to Implementation Date	Date Completed
Phase I: FSR and ITPP Development and Approval	5/12/06	10/12/06	Complete		5/12/06
Phase II: Contractor Procurements and Contract Approvals	2/5/07	3/2/10	In progress	Procurement phase delays	
Phase III: System Development and Implementation	3/3/10	1/3/12	Not started		

Variances
Check the appropriate box for each project element listed below. Please describe the actions you plan to take for those items marked "Caution" or "Significant Variance".

CA-PMM

Project Name: CALTIDES

OCIO Project #:
Department: CDE and CCTC
Revision Date: 1/11/09

Status Report

	On Plan <5%	Caution 5-10%	Significant Variance >10%	Action Required
Schedule			X	Due to 1 year 8 month Procurement Phase delay - will be accounted for in upcoming SPR
Milestones			X	Due to 1 year 8 month Procurement Phase delay - will be accounted for in upcoming SPR
Deliverables	X			
Resources	X			
OneTime Cost			X	Revised costs based on Cost Proposals will be documented in the upcoming SPR
Continuing Cost			X	Revised costs based on Bidder's Best and Final offers will be documented in the upcoming SPR

Status Reports – Sponsor to Steering Committee

Summary Milestones and Highlights

Project Milestones:
List key milestones and their dates from the project schedule. Explain in issues section if a milestone's status is behind.

Milestone	Target Date	Forecast Date	Status	If Delayed, Impact to Implementation Date	Date Completed
Phase I: FSR and ITPP Development and Approval	5/12/06	10/12/06	Complete		5/12/06
Phase II: Contractor Procurements and Contract Approvals	2/5/07	3/2/10	In progress	Procurement phase delays	
Phase III: System Development and Implementation	3/3/10	1/3/12	Not started		

CA-PMM

Project Name: CALTIDES

OCIO Project #:
Department: CDE and CCTC
Revision Date: 1/11/09

Status Report

Variances				
	On Plan <5%	**Caution 5-10%**	**Significant Variance >10%**	Action Required
Schedule			X	Due to 1 year 8 month Procurement Phase delay - will be accounted for in upcoming SPR
Milestones			X	Due to 1 year 8 month Procurement Phase delay - will be accounted for in upcoming SPR
Deliverables	X			
Resources	X			
One Time Cost			X	Revised costs based on Cost Proposals will be documented in the upcoming SPR
Continuing Cost			X	Revised costs based on Bidder's Best and Final offers will be documented in the upcoming SPR

Monitoring Vital Signs Scorecard

Vital Sign	Variance	Value	Your Score
1. Customer Buy-In	High Degree of Buy-In	0	0
	Medium Degree of Buy-In	1	
	Low Degree of Buy-In	2	
2. Technology Viability	Strong Viability	0	0
	Medium Viability	1	
	Weak Viability	2	
3. Status of the Critical Path (delay)	<5%	0	2
	5% to 10%	1	
	>10%	2	

CA-PMM

Project Name: CALTIDES

OCIO Project #:

Department: CDE and CCTC

Revision Date: 1/11/09

4. Cost-to-Date vs. Estimated Cost-to-Date (higher)	<5%	0	**2**
	5% to 10%	1	
	>10%	2	
5. High-Probability, High-Impact Risks	0 to 3	0	**1**
	4 to 6	1	
	>6	2	
6. Unresolved Issues (on time resolution)	On time	0	**0**
	Late with no impact	1	
	Late impacting the critical path	2	
7. Sponsorship Commitment	Fully engaged	0	**0**
	Partially engaged	1	
	Inadequate engagement	2	
8. Strategy Alignment	Strong alignment	0	**0**
	Partial alignment	1	
	Weak or no alignment	2	
9. Value-to-Business	Strong	0	**0**
	Medium	1	
	Weak	2	
10. Vendor Viability (provide rationale for the rating in the field following the scorecard)	Strong	0	**0**
	Medium	1	
	Weak	2	
11. Milestone Hit Rate (rate of achievement as planned)	>90% on time	0	**2**
	80-90% on time	1	
	<80% on time	2	
12. Deliverable Hit Rate (rate of production as planned)	>90% on time	0	**0**
	80-90% on time	1	
	<80% on time	2	

CA-PMM

Project Name: CALTIDES

OCIO Project #:

Department: CDE and CCTC

Revision Date: 1/11/09

13. Actual vs. Planned Resources	>90% assigned and available	0	**0**
	80-90% assigned and available	1	
	<80% assigned and available	2	
14. Overtime Utilization (% of effort that is overtime)	<15%	0	**0**
	15-25%	1	
	>25%	2	
15. Team Effectiveness	Highly Effective	0	**0**
	Moderately Effective	1	
	Ineffective	2	
		Total	**7**

Green = 0 - 8
Yellow = 9 - 19
Red = 20+

Vendor Viability Rating Rationale

Rating based on review of technical proposals.